

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.907.116>

## Prevalence and detection of Subclinical Mastitis by California Mastitis Test (CMT) in Dairy Farms of Theni District in Tamil Nadu, India

A. Senthilkumar\*, S. Murugesan and P. Balamurugan

Farmers Training Centre, Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University,  
Theni-625531, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords

CMT Kit-Dairy  
cattle-Subclinical  
mastitis-Prevalence

#### Article Info

##### Accepted:

11 June 2020

##### Available Online:

10 July 2020

The present investigation was carried out during the front line demonstration programme conducted at ten villages in Theni District of Tamil Nadu. A total 120 milk samples from 30 cows were screened by Modified California Mastitis Test for identification of subclinical mastitis. Out of 120 milk samples, about 24 milk samples were positive for subclinical mastitis while 96 milk samples observed to normal. Totally 120 samples were screened 13 samples as 1+, 8 samples as 2++ and 3 samples as 3+++ out of 24 subclinical mastitis affected milk samples. On the basis of stage of lactation wise early, mid and late stage of lactation, the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was 28.57%; 16.67% and 16.67% respectively. The quarter wise, right forequarter, right hindquarter, left forequarter and left hindquarter, the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was 16.66%; 54.17%; 8.33% and 20.83% respectively. On the basis of parity, from first to fourth parity, the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was 9.09%; 16.66%; 25.00% and 25.00% respectively. CMT is a reliable diagnostic method in field conditions.

### Introduction

Mastitis is defined as inflammation of mammary gland. It is divided into two types: clinical and subclinical. Clinical mastitis is easy to detect (seeing clotted milk or changes in milk). But Subclinical mastitis is asymptomatic; therefore, produced milk appears to be normal. It is now a well-known fact that the subclinical mastitis is more serious and is responsible for much greater loss to the dairy industry (Miller *et al.*, 1993).

Subclinical mastitis can only be demonstrated using various tests such as California Mastitis Test (CMT), Whiteside test (WST), Surf field mastitis test (SFMT), Sodium Lauryl Sulphate test (SLST), Microscopic Somatic Cell Count (MSCC) (Sharma *et al.*, 2010 and Hoque *et al.*, 2014) and Electrical Conductivity (EC) (Hegde *et al.*, 2013).

Enzymatic analyses such as colourimetric and fluorometric assays have also been developed (Viguiet *et al.*, 2009).

New advanced techniques such as proteomics have been recently developed and used in the detection of proteins involved in mastitis (Lippolis and Reinhardt, 2005; Van Leeuwen *et al.*, 2005 and Smolenski *et al.*, 2007). Most of these tests are preferred as screening tests indicating SCM since they are easy to use and yield rapid as well as satisfactory results. However, CMT has been recognized as a reliable, highly sensitive, inexpensive rapid screening test in field conditions even by less trained dairy men based upon the amount of cellular nuclear protein present in the milk sample (Joshi and Gokhale, 2006 and Madut, 2009). The present study was to investigate the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows of the Theni district by using a field test i.e. California Mastitis Test.

## **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out during the front line demonstration programme conducted at ten villages in the Theni District of Tamil Nadu during the period from 2018 to 2019. Totally 30 crossbred dairy cows (Jersey / HF) of different age groups (3-6 years), different parities (1-4) and different stages of lactation (Early, mid and Late) were included in the investigation study group. All cows were stall fed and milked twice daily by hand milking. The regular practices of washing of the udder before milking with clean water were used by the milking man.

### **California mastitis test and detection of scm**

Prior to milk collection for mastitis screening, a clinical examination was performed on every lactating cow. Thorough palpation of the udder to detect any fibrosis, swelling, and other clinical signs was performed. Watery milk, milk with pus or clots, and blind quarters were also examined. Identification of at least one of these signs was enough to

consider the mammary quarter as positive to CM and was excluded from the study. Subclinical mastitis prevalence was obtained by the use of the California Mastitis Test (CMT) which was conducted using scores from 0 to 4 from the modified Scandinavian scoring system, where 0 is a negative result (no gel formation), 1 is traceable (possible infection), and 2 or 3 indicates a positive result and 4 has the thickest gel formation. Milk samples were collected from all four quarters and individually analysed with CMT to detect SCM, as previously described (Quinn *et al.*, 2011). After confirming SCM by CMT, the udder and teats were cleaned with water and wiped using sterile towels. The teat orifice and the skin around the teat were dipped with KMnO<sub>4</sub> and dried off with sterile towels.

2 ml of milk from each quarter was taken into the respective four cups of the CMT paddle. An equal amount of the above CMT reagent was added in each cup and gently mixed and rotated anticlockwise for a few seconds and the result was recorded within 30 seconds as 0 (negative), T (trace), 1+, 2++ or 3+++ as per the manufacturer's instructions.

## **Results and Discussion**

In the present investigation, a total of 120 milk samples from 30 cows were screened by the modified California mastitis test for the identification of subclinical mastitis. Out of 120 milk samples, about 24 milk samples were positive for subclinical mastitis while 96 samples were observed to be normal. The positive samples were graded into three grades as per their grading i.e. 1+ traceable (possible infection), 2++ (distinct positive) and 3+++ (strong positive). Totally 120 samples were screened: 13 samples as 1+, 8 samples as 2++ and 3 samples as 3+++ out of 24 subclinical mastitis affected milk samples. The overall animal and breed like Jersey and HF wise, the prevalence of subclinical

mastitis was 30.00%;10.00% and 25.00% respectively. On the basis of stage of lactation wise early, mid and late stage of lactation, the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was 28.57%; 16.67% and 16.67% respectively. The quarter wise, right forequarter, right hindquarter, left forequarter and left hindquarter, the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was 16.66%;54.17%;8.33% and 20.83% respectively. On the basis of parity, from first to fourth parity, the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was 9.09%; 16.66%;25.00% and 25.00% respectively

The result regarding animal wise prevalence of present investigation was close to in agreement with Lahamge *et al.*, (2019) who reported that the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows was 33.30%. But slightly lower than report of Swami *et al.*, (2017). He found that the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows was 35%.Varatanovic *et al.*, (2015) and Bonde *et al.*, (2014) reported that 60.00% and 56.02% the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows respectively which was higher that of result of present investigation.

The result of present investigation the prevalence of subclinical mastitis regarding grade wise in dairy cows was close to in agreement with Kasikei *et al.*, (2012) evaluated total 386 milk samples from quarters of 188 cows out of that 258(66.85%),85(22.02%)and 43(11.13%) milk samples as CMT(+), CMT(++), CMT(+++) respectively. But lower than result of Risvanli and Kalkan (2002) whose reported out of 271 subclinical mastitis quarter 8.12%, 22.88% and 69.00% of quarter positive for CMT (+), CMT(++),CMT(+++) respectively. The result of present investigation the right hindquarter was more susceptible than other quarters. Cows with third and fourth parity and early stage of lactation were more susceptible subclinical mastitis than others.

Which was close to in agreement with Sudhan *et al.*, (2005) and Badiuzzaman *et al.*, (2015) reported that the right hindquarter was more significantly ( $p<0.001$ ) susceptible to subclinical mastitis than other quarters. Cows with third and fourth parity and at their early stage of lactation had significantly higher in prevalence of subclinical mastitis. This observation supports with the reports of Joyoti *et al.*, (1998) who reported 77.77%, 63.76% and 41.00% prevalence of subclinical mastitis in early, mid and late stage of lactation respectively. Rahman *et al.*, (1997) reported that highest prevalence of subclinical mastitis during the third months (34.00%) lactation. Lalrintluanga *et al.*, (2003) reported that mastitis incidence was higher during the early stage of the third lactation(36.60%).

However, somatic cell count is found out to increase in first few days of lactation and may be high up to the first month of lactation (Atakan,2008) and increase towards the end of lactation is considered to be physiological. Sederevicius *et al.*, (2006) reported a temporary increase in somatic cell count just after calving due to adaptation of the udder from non-lactating to lactating status, while in mid lactation somatic cell count usually remains in normal range.

The CMT test showed higher prevalence rate of subclinical mastitis than other test. For identification of subclinical mastitis CMT test was considered as good test and most accurate test diagnostic technique (Schalm *et al.*, 1971). The variation of prevalence might be attributed to various factors i.e. age, breed, lactation period, season etc. Kurjogi and Kaliwal (2014) also has reported that age, lactation period of the cow and environmental factors could be directly associated with the subclinical mastitis, whereas, clinical mastitis is more associated with the breed of the cow and environmental conditions.

**Table.1** Prevalence of subclinical mastitis

Particulars	Numbers	Positive	Negative	Percentage
No. of Animals	30	6	24	30.00
CMT Test	120 milk samples	24	96	30.00
CMT Grade	24	13 samples 1+ (54.16%)	8 samples 2++ (33.33%)	3 samples 3+++ (12.50%)
Jersey	10	1	9	10.00
HF	20	5	17	25.00
Early lactation	14	4	10	28.57
Mid lactation	6	1	5	16.67
Late lactation	4	1	3	16.67
Right forequarter	24	4	20	16.66
Right hindquarter	24	13	11	54.17
Left forequarter	24	2	22	8.33
Left hindquarter	24	5	19	20.83
First parity	11	1	10	9.09
Second parity	6	1	5	16.66
Third parity	8	2	6	25.00
Fourth parity	5	2	3	25.00

Similarly, Ranjan *et al.*, (2011) also has reported change in occurrence of bovine mastitis under different climatic conditions. The results of this current study concur with other recent trials that the CMT has the potential to be a rapid, accurate, and economically feasible test for fresh cows. There remains a definite need for cow-side test procedures that could be used on CMT positive quarters to identify specific pathogens.

## References

- Atakan, K.O.C., 2008. A study of somatic cell counts in the milk of Holstein-Friesian cows managed in Mediterranean climatic condition. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences* 32: 13-18.
- Badiuzzaman, M., Samad, A., Siddiki, S and Md.T.Islam, 2015. Subclinical Mastitis in Lactating Cows: Comparison of Four Screening Tests And Effect Of Animal Factors On Its Occurrence. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine* 13(2):41.
- Bonde, S. W., Gaikwad, N. Z., Ravikanth, K., Thakur, A., and Maini, S., 2014. Effect of Herbal Topical Gel (Mastilep) application on the LDH activity and Total Immunoglobulin Levels in milk from cows suffering with Subclinical Mastitis. *The Journal of Veterinary Science, Photon*, 115: 370-376.
- Hegde, R., Isloor, S., Prabhu, K.N., *et al.*, 2013. Incidence of subclinical mastitis and prevalence of major mastitis pathogens in organized farms and unorganized sectors. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 53(3):315-320
- Hoque, M.N., Das, Z. C., Talukder, A. K., Alam, M. S and Rahman, A. N. M. A., 2014. Different screening tests and

- milk somatic cell count for the prevalence of subclinical bovine mastitis in Bangladesh, *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 47(1): 79–86.
- Joshi, S., and Gokhale, S., 2006. Status of mastitis as an emerging disease in improved and periurban dairy farms in India, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1081: 74–83.
- Joyoti, B., Dutta, G.N and Buragohain, J., 1998. Control of bovine mastitis through treatment of subclinical mastitis during lactation. *Indian journal Dairy Science* 51: 309-314.
- Kasikci, G., Cetin, O., Bingol, E. B., and Gunduz, M. C., 2012. Relations between electrical conductivity, somatic cell count, California mastitis test and some quality parameters in the diagnosis of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows. *Turkish journal of veterinary and animal sciences*, 36(1): 49-55.
- Kurjogi, M., and Kaliwal, B. B., 2014. Epidemiology of Bovine Mastitis in Cows of Dharwad District. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 1-9.
- Lahange, M. S., Thakre, A., Bonde S. W., Borkar, S. D., Somkuwar, A. P. and Patil, D. V., 2019. Prevalence of Subclinical Mastitis in Cows: In and Around Nagpur Region. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.*, 8(12): 83-88.
- Lalrintluanga, C., Ralte, E.C and Hmarkunga, 2003. Incidence of mastitis, bacteriology and antibiogram in dairy cattle in Aizawl, Mizoram. Disease Investigation Laboratory, AH and Veterinary Department, Mizoram, India. *Indian Veterinary Journal* 80: 931-932.
- Lippolis, J. D. and Reinhardt, T. A., 2005. Proteomic survey of bovine neutrophils, *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 103(1-2): 53–65.
- Miller, G.Y., Bartlett, P.C., Lance S.E., Anderson, J and Heider, L.E., 1993. Costs of clinical mastitis and mastitis prevention in dairy herds. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 202: 1230-1236
- Quinn, P. J., Markey, B. K., Leonard, F. C., Fitzpatrick, E. S, Fanning, S. and Hartigan, P. J., 2011. *Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease*, Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Rahman, M..S., Nooruddin, M and Rahman, M.M., 1997. Prevalence and distribution of mastitis in cross-bred and exotic dairy cows. *Bangladesh Veterinary Journal* 14: 1-4.
- Ranjan, R., Gupta, M. K., and Singh, K. K., 2011. Study of bovine mastitis in different climatic conditions in Jharkhand, India. *Veterinary world*, 4(5): 205-208.
- Risvanlı, A., and Kalkan, C., 2002. The effect of age and breed on somatic cell count and microbiological isolation rates in milk of dairy cows with subclinical mastitis. *Yuzuncu Yil Universitesi, Veteriner Fakultesi Dergisi*, 13: 84-87. (in Turkish with summary in English).
- Schalm, O. W., Carroll, G., and Jain, N.C., 1971. *Bovine Mastitis*. Lea and Febiger Philadelphia Pa, USA, 95- 127.
- Sederevicius A, Balsyte J, Lukauskas K, Kazlauskaite J and Biziulevicius, G.A., 2006. An enzymatic cow immunity-targeted approach to reducing milk somatic cell count: A comparative field trial. *Food and Agricultural Immunology* 17: 1-7.
- Sharma, N., Pandey, V and Sudhan, N.A., 2010. Comparison of some indirect screening tests for detection of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows, *Bulgarian Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, . 13(2): 98–103.
- Smolenski, G., Haines, S., F. Y.-S. Kwan, F.Y.S., 2007. *et al.*, Characterisation of host defence proteins in milk using a proteomic

- approach, *Journal of Proteome Research*, 6(1):207–215.
- Sudhan, N.A., Singh, R., Singh, M and Soodan, J.S., 2005. Studies on prevalence, etiology and diagnosis of subclinical mastitis among cross bred cows. *Indian Journal of Animal Research* 39: 127-130.
- Swami, S.V., Patil, R.A., and Gadekar, S.D., 2017. Study on prevalence of Subclinical mastitis in dairy animals. *Journal of entomology and zoology studies*, 5(4): 1297-1300.
- Van Leeuwen, W. B., Melles, D. C., Alaidan, A., 2005. *et al.*, Host and tissue-specific pathogenic traits of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Journal of Bacteriology*, 187(13):4584–4591.
- Varatanovic, N., Cengic, B., and Imsirevic, E., 2015. Research on subclinical mastitis and its aetiological in different breeds of cow. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*, 31(3): 365-374.
- Viguer, C., Arora, S., Gilmartin, N., Welbeck, K and Kennedy, R.O., 2009. Mastitis detection: current trends and future perspectives, *Trends in Biotechnology*, 27( 8):486–493.

**How to cite this article:**

Senthilkumar. A., S. Murugesan and Balamurugan. P. 2020. Prevalence and detection of Subclinical Mastitis by California Mastitis Test (CMT) in Dairy Farms of Theni District in Tamil Nadu, India. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 9(07): 988-993.  
doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.907.116>